

Test Domain	Variable that the test is measuring
ICF levels of measurement	body function: physiological functions of the body system
	activity: the execution of a task or action by an individual
	participation: involvement in a life situation
Test-retest Reliability	The extent to which multiple applications of a test provide consistent results
Validity	The extent to which a measure assesses what it is intended to measure.
Patient Populations	The group(s) being tested with this measure.
Required Time	The time that should be allotted for the administration of a test.
Materials	Resources needed to administer the test.
Free Limb/ Neuroprosthesis	Is the test done with the free limb or while wearing the neuroprosthesis/FES device?
Responsiveness	The ability of a measure to assess clinically important change over time. This term is often used interchangeably with sensitivity to change.
Minimal clinically important difference (MCID)	The smallest change that represents an important difference to either the client or the management of the client. It is expressed in the same units as the original measurement.
Minimal detectable change (MDC)	This represents an estimate of the smallest change that can be detected for a client, expressed in the same units as the original measurement. A confidence level is usually included (eg, 90% CI). In this case, the interpretation is that 90% of stable clients would show a change less than the specified value.
Related to other tests on list	This test has been compared to other tests in this table, typically with correlation analyses, and found to be related to each other.
ADL	Activities of Daily Living
CNS	Central Nervous System
FIM	Functional Independence Measure
SCI	Spinal Cord Injury

References for ULAG table

1. Lyle RC. A performance test for assessment of upper limb function in physical rehabilitation treatment and research. *Int J Rehabil Res* 1981; 4:483-92.
2. Lang CE, Wagner JM, Dromerick AW, Edwards DF. Measurement of upper-extremity function early after stroke: properties of the Action Research Arm Test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;87:1605-1610.
3. Barreca, SR, Stratford PW, Lambert CL, Masters LM, Streiner DL. Test-Retest reliability, validity, and sensitivity of the Chedoke Arm and Hand Activity Inventory: a new measure of upper-limb function for survivors of stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;86:1616-1622.
4. Wolfe CD, Taub NA, Woodrow EJ, Burney PG. Assessment of scales of disability and handicap for stroke patients. *Stroke* 1991;22:1242-4.
5. Granger CV, Dewis LS, Peters NC, Sherwood CC, Barrett JE. Stroke rehabilitation: analysis of repeated Barthel Index measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1979;60:14-7.
6. Gresham GE, Phillips TF, Labi ML. ADL status in stroke: relative merits of the three standard indexes. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1980;61:355-8.
7. Finch E, Brooks D, Stratford PW, Mayo NE. *Physical Rehabilitation Outcome Measures*. 2nd Edition. Hamilton (ON): BC Decker Inc. 2002.
8. Bosh J. The reliability and validity of the Canadian Occupational Performance Measure [thesis]. Hamilton, (ON):McMaster Univ;1995.
9. Chan CCH, Lee TMC. Validity of the Canadian Occupational Performance Measure. *Occup Ther Int* 1997;4:229-47.
10. Gowland C, Stratford P, Ward M, Moreland J, Torresin W, Van Hullenar S, et al. Measuring physical impairment and disability with the Chedoke-McMaster Stroke Assessment. *Stroke* 1993; 24 (1): 58-63.

11. Huijbregts MPJ, Gowland C, Gruber RA. Measuring clinically-important change with the activity inventory of the Chedoke-McMaster Stroke Assessment. *Physiotherapy Canada* 2000, 2(4):295-304.
12. Segal ME, Schall RR. Determining functional/health status and its relation to disability in stroke survivors. *Stroke* 1994;25:2391-7.
13. Kidd D, Stewart G, Baldry J, Johnson J, Rossiter D, Petruckevitch A, et al. The Functional Independence Measure: a comparative validity and reliability study. *Disabil Rehabil* 1995;17:10-4.
14. Loewen SC, Anderson BA. Reliability of the Modified Motor Assessment Scale and the Barthel Index. *Phys Ther* 1988;68:1077-81.
15. Catz A, Itzkovich M, Agranov E., Ring H, Tamir A. SCIM-spinal cord independence measure: a new disability scale for patients with spinal cord lesions. *Spinal Cord*. 1997;35:850-856.
16. Stern EB. Stability of the Jebsen-Taylor hand function test across three test sessions. *Am J Occup Ther* 1992;7:647-649.
17. Hill K, Denisenko S, Miller K, Clements T, Batchelor F. *Clinical outcome measurement in adult neurological physiotherapy* (3rd ed.). Victoria: Australian Physiotherapy Association National Neurology Group.
18. Bovend'Eerdt TJH, Dawes H, Johansen-Berg H, Wade DT. Evaluation of the modified Jebsen test of hand function and the University of Maryland arm questionnaire for stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 2004;18:195-202.
19. Rudman D and Hannah S. An instrument evaluation of framework: Description and application to assessments of hand function. *Journal of Hand Therapy* 1998;October-December: 266-277.
20. Limaye V, Frankham A, Disney A, Pile K. Evaluation of hand function in patients undergoing long term haemodialysis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Disease*. 2001;60:278-280.
21. Marx RG, Bombardier C, Wright JG. What do we know about the reliability and validity of physical examination tests used to examine the upper extremity? *J Hand Surg*. 1999;24A:185-193.

22. Brohardh C, Persson AL, Sjolund BH. Intra- and inter-rater reliability of the Sollerman hand function test in patients with chronic stroke. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2007;29(2):145-154.
23. Sollerman C, Ejekkar A. SOLLERMAN HAND FUNCTION TEST A Standardized Method and its Use in Tetraplegic Patients. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery*. 1995;29:167-176.
24. van der Lee JH, Wagenaar RC, Lankhorst GJ, Vogelaar TW, Deville WL, Bouter LM. Forced-use of the upper extremity in chronic stroke patients: Results from a single-blind randomized clinical trial. *Stroke*. 1999;30(11):2369-2375.
25. Lang CE, Edwards DF, Birkenmeier RL, Dromerick AW. Estimating minimally clinically important differences of upper extremity measures early after stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;89(9):1693-1700.
26. Beebe JA, Lang CE (2008) Absence of a proximal to distal gradient of motor deficits in the upper extremity early after stroke. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 119:2074-2085.
27. Lang CE, Beebe JA (2007) Relating movement control at 9 upper extremity segments to loss of hand function in people with chronic hemiparesis. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*21:279-291.
28. Wagenaar RC, Meijer OG, van Wieringen PC, Kuik DJ, Hazenberg GJ, Lindeboom J, et al. The functional recovery of stroke: a comparison between neuro-developmental treatment and the Brunnstrom method. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1990;22:1-8.
29. van der Lee JH, DeGroot V, Beckerman H, Wagenaar RC, Lankhorst GJ, Bouter LM. The intra- and interrater reliability of the Action Research Arm Test: a practical test of upper extremity function in patients with stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;82:14-9.
30. De Weerd WJG, Harrison MA. Measuring recovery of arm-hand function in stroke patients: a comparison of the Brunnstrom-Fugle-Meyer test and the Action Research Arm test. *Physiother Can* 1985;37:65-70.
31. Hsieh CL, Hsueh IP, Chiang FM, Lin PH. Inter-rater reliability and validity of the Action Research Arm Test in stroke patients. *Age Ageing* 1998;27:107-113.

32. Roy CW, Togneri J, Hay E, Pentland B. An inter-rater reliability study of the Barthel Index. *Int J Rehabil Res* 1988;11:67-70.
33. Jebson RH, Taylor N, Trieschmann RB, Trotter MJ, Howard LA. An objective and standardized test of hand function. *Archives of Physical medicine and Rehabilitation* 1969;50(6):311-319.
34. Dittmar SS and Gresham GE. Functional assessment and outcome measures for the rehabilitation professional. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publications. 1997 (pp 158-159).
35. Platz T, Pinkowski C, van WF, Kim IH, di BP, Johnson G (2005) Reliability and validity of arm function assessment with standardized guidelines for the Fugl-Meyer Test, Action Research Arm Test and Box and Block Test: a multicentre study. *Clin Rehabil* 19:404-411.
36. Wuolle KS, Van Doren CL, Thrope GB, Keith MW, Peckham PH (1994) Development of a quantitative hand grasp and release test for patients with tetraplegia using a hand neuroprosthesis. *J hand Surg* 19:209-218.